

Are All the “Discovered” Patterns Interesting?

- Data mining may generate thousands of patterns: Not all of them are interesting
 - Suggested approach: Human-centered, query-based, focused mining
- **Interestingness measures**
 - A pattern is **interesting** if it is easily understood by humans, valid on new or test data with some degree of certainty, potentially useful, novel, or validates some hypothesis that a user seeks to confirm
- **Objective vs. subjective interestingness measures**
 - Objective: based on **statistics and structures of patterns**, e.g., support, confidence, etc.
 - Subjective: based on **user’s belief** in the data, e.g., unexpectedness, novelty, actionability, etc.

Find All and Only Interesting Patterns?

- Find all the interesting patterns: **Completeness**
 - Can a data mining system find all the interesting patterns? Do we need to find all of the interesting patterns?
 - Heuristic vs. exhaustive search
 - Association vs. classification vs. clustering
- Search for only interesting patterns: An optimization problem
 - Can a data mining system find only the interesting patterns?
 - Approaches
 - First general all the patterns and then filter out the uninteresting ones
 - Generate only the interesting patterns—mining query optimization

Other Pattern Mining Issues

- Precise patterns vs. approximate patterns
 - Association and correlation mining: possible find sets of precise patterns
 - But approximate patterns can be more compact and sufficient
 - How to find high quality approximate patterns??
 - Gene sequence mining: approximate patterns are inherent
 - How to derive efficient approximate pattern mining algorithms??
- Constrained vs. non-constrained patterns
 - Why constraint-based mining?
 - What are the possible kinds of constraints? How to push constraints into the mining process?

Pattern Interestingness Measure

- **Simplicity**
e.g., (association) rule length, (decision) tree size
- **Certainty**
e.g., confidence, $P(A|B) = \#(A \text{ and } B) / \#(B)$, classification reliability or accuracy, certainty factor, rule strength, rule quality, discriminating weight, etc.
- **Utility**
potential usefulness, e.g., support (association), noise threshold (description)
- **Novelty**
not previously known, surprising (used to remove redundant rules, e.g., Illinois vs. Champaign rule implication support ratio)