

DESIGN TO LIFE CYCLE COST

GALGOTIAS
UNIVERSITY

DESIGN TO LIFE CYCLE COST

The logo of Galgotias University is a stylized, circular emblem. It features a central blue swirl that transitions into a yellow and orange arc at the top, all set against a light pinkish-red background. The logo is positioned behind the title text.

GALGOTIAS
UNIVERSITY

DESIGN TO LIFE CYCLE COST

- ❖ EARLY DESIGN
- ❖ REQUIREMENT DEFINITION AND CONCEPTUAL DESIGN
- ❖ TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS
- ❖ OPTIMIZATION USING COST AND UTILITY METRICS
- ❖ TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS MODELS AND PARAMETERS
- ❖ DESIGN TO COST
- ❖ DESIGN TO LIFE CYCLE COST
- ❖ DESIGN FOR WARRANTIES

PITFALLS IN REQUIREMENT DEFINITION

- Successfully translating customer needs into product level requirements is extremely difficult. There are several common pitfalls in this process.
- First - **a specific solution** (e.g., technology, resolution, bandwidth, or part types) is determined **too early** before conceptual design and trade-off studies have been performed.
- Second - **product requirement must be extremely Innovative** - many risks and ensure failure
- Third - **requirements can be stated in general terms.**
- Fourth - common temptation to **accept customer, marketing, or a consultant's suggestions as the only and final input.**

- Fifth - problem statement is continuously changing. This is called a "moving target."
- Sixth - product's requirements become too complex and detailed.
- Seventh - develop only one set of requirements for all customers.

CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PROCESS

- The conceptual design process
 - (1) identifies all **design approaches** (i.e., alternatives) that could meet the defined requirements,
 - (2) performs **trade-off analyses** to select the best design approach to be used and
 - (3) **transforms** the product requirements into **lower level design requirements** based on the selected approach.
- It begins when a new product is defined in the requirement definition process and **continues until the final design approach has been identified.**
- Requirements are allocated down to the lowest levels needed and documented during this process.
- This is the phase where the **size of the design team will grow.**

(1) Identify all design approach and alternatives

- The first step is to start **identifying potential design solutions** to be used in trade-off analyses.
- **Many people are involved** in this collaborative effort to insure all possible options are considered. **Creativity and innovation** must be **encouraged** not only for design but also manufacturing, logistics and other areas.
- Identifying alternatives are often performed in **“brainstorming” sessions**.
- Design is about **anticipation**. The team anticipates new technologies and styling trends to envision how they might be translated into **a good-looking, useful, easy-to-use and desired products**. The team also **anticipates styling and social changes** and identifies new customer need and desires as a result of those changes

(2) Extensive Trade-off Analyses

- The next step of conceptual design consists of **evaluating each of the identified design approaches.**
- Examine alternative design approaches and different parameters with the purpose of **optimizing the overall performance of the system and reducing technical risk.** This includes both **innovative and Traditional approaches.**
- A trade study is a **formal decision-making method** that can be used to solve many complex problems.
- Trade studies (also called trade off studies or analyses) are used to **rank user needs in order of importance, develop cost models, and identify realistic configurations** that meet mission needs. That information then helps highlight producible, testable and maintainable configurations with quality, cost, and reliability at the required levels.

➤ Trade-off studies are directed at **finding a proper balance between the many demands on a design**. The trade-off studies should include all-important parameters such as **cost, schedule, technical risk, reliability, producibility, quality, and supportability**.

➤ **Utility metrics** can be also used to quantify the different alternatives. The **steps in trade-off studies** are to:

1. Form a **cross-functional team**. The team may be completely independent group, with augmentation by functional experts.
2. Encourage **customer involvement** and innovation.
3. Define the **objectives** of the trade study alternatives.
4. Determine the **approach and resources** required.
5. **Evaluate and select** the preferred alternative.
6. **Validate** the study results through testing and/or simulation.
7. **Iterate** more detailed trade studies throughout the design process.

8. **Document** the study and results

(3) Developing and allocating Design Requirements

➤ Since these design requirements will provide the **Performance baseline** for each design team member they should be:

1. Easy to understand
2. Realistic
3. Detailed and measurable for the selected design approach.

➤ Important **method of communication** and **provide the foundation for the design effort**. It is used to **develop program organization, funding, partnerships, and guidelines** (including part selection, producibility, and reliability).

➤ Design goals and requirements should be sufficient in detail to:

- **Communicate** essential requirements to **all the members of the design team** including vendors.
 - Permit complete **technical control** of the design process in all aspects of the program.
 - Minimize **loss of continuity** resulting from personnel changes.
 - Provide a **quantified baseline** for design trade-offs, design reviews and measurement of technical progress.
 - Provide **quantified testable requirements** for test and evaluation.
- Design team must ensure that the stated requirements are **reasonable and appropriate for the end user** and within the limits of **existing technology** or that the technology could be **developed**.

IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS

TRADE-OFF STUDIES

➤ Design trade-off studies examine alternative design approaches and different parameters with the purpose of **optimizing the overall performance of the system and reducing technical risk.**

COST

➤ Cost is the most useful and popular **measure for trade-off studies due to its universal nature** and its flexibility as a measure. Cost is a flexible measure since almost any design parameter can be converted to a cost measure.

DESIGN TO COST (DTC)

➤ Design to Cost (DTC) is a cost analysis technique aimed at **reducing or minimizing a product's price** or cost, which results in increased sales volume.

LIFE CYCLE COST

➤ Life cycle cost (LCC) is a **cost analysis discipline that develops a model of the total cost for development, operation, maintenance and disposal of a product over its full life** to be used in design trade-off¹² studies.

BEST PRACTICES FOR TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS

- **Systematic decision making process** that addresses all possible impacts of various design decisions.
 - **Design improvements** are identified and implemented through an action-oriented approach.
 - **Models** are accurate and based on a **realistic assessment** of user needs, market requirements, product performance, manufacturing capabilities, prototypes, logistics, and other factors.
 - **Parameters** used in the model are **up-to-date, accurate**.
- **Design to Cost** aggressively lowers product costs in order to increase sales and profit.
- **Life Cycle Cost** models are used for in-depth trade-off studies of design, manufacturing, operation, maintenance, logistics, environmental, and warranty parameters to improve the design.

SYSTEMATIC TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS PROCESS

➤ **Analysis** is a technique for gathering additional information in order to make **better design decisions for improving the design**.

➤ All analyses **need to address the possible impacts** of their results on other areas/disciplines in **product development**. This includes all aspects of a product at the **appropriate level of detail**. The **steps** of a successful trade-off analysis procedure at one company are to develop:

- **Clear problem statement**
- **Identification of requirements that must be achieved**
- **Ground rules and assumptions**
- **Decision criteria**
- **Schedule**
- **Potential solutions and screening matrix**
- **Comprehensive array of feasible alternatives**
- **Comparisons of alternatives using decision criteria**
- **Technical recommendation of trade study leader**

TRADE-OFF ANALYSIS MODELS AND PARAMETERS

- Models provide information to the design team. The quality of the model and its parameters determines the quality of the information provided.
- Models and their parameters need to be accurate, up to date and based on a realistic assessment of user needs, market requirements, product performance, manufacturing and support capabilities, prototypes, logistics, and other factors.
- Good models provide quality information that reduces technical risk and are cost effective, accurate, and timely. The best model depends on the application and the resources and time available.

DESIGN TO COST

- A technique aimed at reducing or minimizing a product's price or cost, which results in increasing sales volume. This analysis focuses on the **need to reduce a product's purchase price**.
- Reduction of product cost is accomplished through a rigorous approach of **identifying and implementing cost reducing design and manufacturing improvements**.
- There are **three steps** in developing an effective DTC program:
 1. **Determine critical product price goals** or targets using market elasticity research for various levels of sales.
 2. Establish **realistic product cost goals** based on projected sales volumes and learning curve improvements in design and manufacturing that accomplish the established product price goals.
 3. **Reduce costs to meet these cost goals** through an action-oriented approach using **trade-off studies**.

DESIGN TO LIFE CYCLE COST

- Life cycle cost (LCC) is a discipline that develops a model of the total cost for acquisition, operation, maintenance and disposal of a product over its full life to use in design trade-off studies.
- The model is used for analytical trade-off studies, **identifying overall cost of a product and predicting future costs of maintenance, logistics, and warranties.**
- A major decision to be made when using cost metrics is the **types of costs to include, length of time for the study, and the cost of money i.e. inflation in the study.**
- An effective effort requires a **realistic LCC model, valid input data, extensive design trade-off studies,** and the implementation of design improvements identified in the trade-off analyses. The limitations of the model are as important as its strengths.

There are three steps in developing effective life cycle cost models for design trade-offs.

1. **Develop cost models** that accurately describe the costs associated with a product.
 - a. Define parameters and collect data
 - b. Develop LCC model (parametric or accounting)
 - c. Perform baseline analysis using the model
2. **Perform verification analyses, trade-off analyses** and identify cost drivers.
 - a. Vary LCC model inputs and iteratively evaluate its effects to
 - b. Perform trade-off analyses
 - c. Identify design improvements
3. **Reduce costs** to meet these goals through an action oriented approach using design trade-off studies.
 - a. Implement improvements



References

1. Karl T. Ulrich and Steven D. Eppinger (2009), Product Design and Development, 4th Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, ISBN: 978-0-070-14679-2
2. Stephen C. Armstrong (2005), Engineering and Product development Management– The Holistic Approach, Cambridge University Press, ISBN: 978-0-521-01774-9.
3. Ibrahim Zaid (2006), Mastering CAD/CAM, 2nd Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill, ISBN: 978-0-070-63434-3.
4. Anoop Desai, Anil Mital and Anand Subramanian(2007), Product Development: A Structured Approach to Consumer Product Development, Design, and Manufacture, 1st Edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, ISBN: 978-0-750-68309-8.
5. S. J. Hu, Evolving paradigms of manufacturing: From mass production to mass customization and personalization, in: Procedia CIRP, volume 7, Elsevier B.V., 2013, pp. 3–8. doi:10.1016/j.procir.2013.05.002.
6. S. Jung, T. W. Simpson, New modularity indices for modularity and clustering of product architecture, Journal of Engineering (2017) 1–22. doi:10.1080/09544828.2016.1252835.

The background features a large, faint watermark of the Galgotias University logo, which consists of a circular emblem with three curved, overlapping bands in shades of yellow, blue, and red.

Thank you

GALGOTIAS
UNIVERSITY